

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

attaches more importance to Reason than to Tradition, Diñnāga's view is more acceptable than Vasubandhu's. What is significant in this respect is this that much before Kant or Descartes, Diñnāga liberated philosophy from theology and tradition and based it on the secure foundation of logic. This is, I think, the greatest contribution of Diñnāga to philosophy.

5-3 1972

Sangam Lal Pande
Department of Philosophy,
Allahabad University,
Allahabad

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | |
| Preface | i |
| Introduction | iv |
| Contents | xvi |
| Chapter I The Nature of Knowledge | 1 |
| 1. The Problem of Knowledge | 1 |
| 2. The Realist theory of Apprehension | 1 |
| 3. The Sautrāntika theory of Apprehension (The theory of Co-ordination or Sārūpya-vāda) | 5 |
| 4. The appraisal of the theory of Co-ordination (Sārūpya-vāda) | 17 |
| 5. The Idealistic theory of Apprehension | 19 |
| What is the Biotic Force ? | 22 |
| Anubhava Vāsanā | 23 |
| Anādi Vāsanā | 23 |
| Criticism of the theory of Anādi Vāsanā | 25 |
| Chapter II The Criterion of Knowledge | 35 |
| 1. What is right knowledge ? | 35 |
| 2. What is a source of knowledge ? | 36 |
| 3. The sources of valid knowledge | 38 |
| i. Verbal testimony | 40 |
| ii. Is the Veda a separate source of knowledge | 41 |
| iii. Analogy | 45 |
| iv. Presumption | 46 |
| v. Negation | 48 |
| vi. Ratiocination and Probability | 49 |
| vii. Tradition and Intuition | 49 |
| 4. Criterion of Truth Criticism of the four theories of Truth | 50 52 |
| 5. The Nature of Illusion | 57 |
| Is the perception of yellow conch shell a right know- ledge ? | 61 |
| 6. Kinds of Illusion | 63 |
| 7. The Idealistic theory of Illusion | 64 |

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ଂ.କ୍ର. | 72408 |
| ପ୍ରତି ଂ.କ୍ର. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ଂ.କ୍ର. | 72408 |
| ପ୍ରତି ଂ.କ୍ର. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)

THE PROBLEM OF KNOWLEDGE IN YOGĀCĀRA BUDDHISM

CHHOTE LAL TRIPATHI

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| ପ୍ରକାଶ ନଂ. | 72408 |
| ପୃଷ୍ଠା ନଂ. | 294.32 T |



BHARAT-BHARATI
ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS & BOOKSELLERS
B 28/15, DURGAKUND
VARANASI - 5 (India)